Supplemental Request for Judicial Notice Exhibit C

No. 2008-1062

In the

United States Court of Appeals

For the Federal Circuit

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, N.V. and JANSSEN, L.P.,

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR

Plaintiffs-Appellees.

JAN HORBALY CLEF.K

APOTEX, INC.,

V.

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey in case no. 06-CV-1020, Judge Dennis M. Cavanaugh.

JOINT APPENDIX

SHASHANK UPADHYE, ESQ. VICE PRESIDENT - GLOBAL HEAD OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY APOTEX, INC. 150 Signet Drive Weston, Ontario M9L 1T9 CANADA Telephone: (416) 401-7701

WILLIAM A. RAKOCZY CHRISTINE J. SIWIK AMY D. BRODY ROBERT M. TEIGEN RAKOCZY MOLINO MAZZOCHI SIWIK LLP 6 West Hubbard Street, Suite 500 Chicago, Illinois 60610 Telephone: (312) 222-6301 Facsimile: (312) 222-6321

Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant Apotex, Inc.

April 21, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR JOINT APPENDIX		
Docket Entry #	Description	Appendix Page(s)
	Apotex's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss, dated January 19, 2007	
41-5	Excerpt of Tab B (H.R. Conference Report, No. 108-391 (2003)) to Declaration of William A. Rakoczy in support of Apotex Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss Apotex's Counterclaims III and IV	A270
41-6	Excerpt of Tab C (149 CONG. REC. S15,669, S15,744-46 (daily ed. Nov. 24, 2003)) to Declaration of William A. Rakoczy in support of Apotex Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss Apotex's Counterclaims III and IV	A275
41-7	Excerpt of Tab D (149 Cong. Rec. S15,881-86 (daily ed. Nov. 25, 2003)) to Declaration of William A. Rakoczy in support of Apotex Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss Apotex's Counterclaims III and IV	A281
44	Excerpt of Apotex Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement, dated January 22, 2007, submitted with Apotex Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Seal Materials Relating to Apotex's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement	A319
50	Stipulation and Order, entered February 5, 2007	A408-A412
51	Excerpt of Plaintiffs' Reply Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss Defendant's Counterclaims III and IV Regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 5,453,425 and 5,616,587, dated February 5, 2007	A417
51-2	Excerpt of Reply Declaration of Scott B. Howard in Further Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss	A435

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

2007 FEB -5 P 12: 17

WHITEN STATES

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, N.V. and JANSSEN, L.P.,

Civil Action Nos. 06-1020 (JCL) and 06-2935(JCL)

Plaintiffs,

v

STIPULATION AND ORDER

APOTEX INC.,

Defendant.

WHEREAS, Apotex has filed ANDAs seeking FDA approval to market generic risperidone oral solution (ANDA No. 77-719) and generic risperidone tablets (ANDA No. 77-953); and

WHEREAS, Apotex's ANDAs contain a so-called "Paragraph IV Certification" to Janssen's U.S. Patent No. 4,804,663 ("the '663 patent"); and

WHEREAS, on March 3, 2006, Janssen filed suit (Civil Action No. 06-1020) against Apotex for infringement of the '663 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A) based on Apotex's ANDA No. 77-719 for risperidone oral solution; and

WHEREAS, on June 27, 2006, Janssen filed suit (Civil Action No. 06-2935) against Apotex for infringement of the '663 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A) based on Apotex's ANDA No. 77-953 for risperidone tablets; and

WHEREAS, the actions against Apotex have been consolidated before this Court for all purposes under Civil Action No. 06-1020 (hereinafter "the Apotex action"); and

WHEREAS, Janssen previously filed suit against other companies for infringement of the '663 patent in Janssen Pharmaceutica N.V., et al. v. Mylan Laboratories Inc. and Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd., et al., Civil Action Nos. 03-6220 (JCL) and 03-6185 (JCL), also pending before this Court (hereinafter "the Mylan/DRL actions"); and

WHEREAS, on October 13, 2006, after a trial on the merits, this Court held in the Mylan/DRL actions that "Mylan and DRL have failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that the '663 patent is obvious or that Janssen engaged in inequitable conduct. Thus, the '663 patent is neither invalid nor unenforceable, and as a result, Mylan and DRL have infringed that patent";

WHEREAS, this Court's October 13, 2006 decision in the Mylan/DRL actions is currently on appeal before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("the Federal Circuit"); and

WHEREAS, to conserve the resources of the parties and this Court, Apotex and Janssen wish to stay the pending *Apotex* action regarding the '663 patent until a final decision issues and all appeals have been exhausted ("a final unappealable decision") in the *Mylan/DRL* actions, with Apotex agreeing to be bound by such disposition.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, AGREED, AND ORDERED that:

- All claims, counterclaims, defenses, and discovery of any kind in the Apotex action relating to the '663 patent are hereby STAYED until entry of a final unappealable decision in the Mylan/DRL actions.
- If a final unappealable decision in the Mylan/DRL actions holds that the '663
 patent is valid, enforceable and infringed, the stay shall be lifted and such a
 judgment shall be entered in the Apotex action.

- 3. In the event that judgment in favor of Janssen in the Mylan/DRL actions is vacated, modified or reversed in a final unappealable decision, then such judgment shall be applied in the same manner in the Apotex action. If a final unappealable decision holds that the '663 patent is invalid in the Mylan/DRL actions, then the stay shall be lifted and such a judgment shall be entered in the Apotex action. If a final unappealable decision holds that the '663 patent is unenforceable in the Mylan/DRL actions, then the stay shall be lifted and such a judgment shall be entered in the Apotex action.
- 4. This stipulation and order only concerns judgment as to the validity, enforceability and infringement of the '663 action. No judgment in the Mylan/DRL actions concerning willful infringement, exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and/or attorney's fees in connection with the '663 patent shall be binding in the Apotex action. If judgment is entered in the Apotex action pursuant to paragraphs 2 or 3 with the Apotex action having been stayed until the entry of such judgment, then the parties agree to waive any claim for attorneys' fees against the other in the Apotex action as to the '663 patent. If judgment is entered in the Apotex action pursuant to paragraphs 2 or 3 with the Apotex action having been stayed until the entry of such judgment, any final judgment in the Mylan/DRL action concerning the issues of willful infringement and/or exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 shall have no relevance to, or bearing on, the Apotex action.
- Notwithstanding the foregoing, either party may move to lift the stay for good cause shown.

- 6. Good cause, as set forth in paragraph 5 above, includes any settlement of the Mylan/DRL actions by all parties to those actions or any dismissal of both the Mylan and DRL appeals prior to disposition of the Federal Circuit appeal, in which case either party to the Apotex action may seek to litigate its claims or defenses.
- 7. Should either party move to lift the stay in the Apotex action, it hereby agrees to permit the completion of discovery on the '663 patent and to an adjustment of the schedule to accommodate the completion of such discovery.

Apotex Inc.

James E. Cecchi

Melissa E. Flax

CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN,

CECCHI, STEWART & OLSTEIN

5 Becker Farm Rd.

Roseland, New Jersey 07068

Telephone: (973) 994-1700

Facsimile: (973) 994-1744 JCecchi@carellabyme.com

Of Counsel (admitted pro hac vice):

William A. Rakoczy

Christine J. Siwik

Amy D. Brody

RAKOCZY MOLINO MAZZOCHI SIWIK LLP

6 West Hubbard Street, Suite 500

Chicago, Illinois 60610

Tel: (312) 222-6301

Fax: (312) 222-6321

wrakoczy@rmmslegal.com

Janssen Pharmacoutica N.V. and

Janssen Pharmaceutica Products, L.P.

Douglas S. Eakeley

John R. Middleton, Jr.

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC

65 Livingston Avenue

Roseland, New Jersey 07068

Telephone: (973) 597-2500

Of Counsel (admitted pro hac vice)

Gregory L. Diskant

Scott B. Howard

Stuart E. Pollack

Wendy Kemp Akbar

Irena Royzman

PATTERSON, BELKNAP, WEBB & TYLER LLP

1133 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-6710

DATED: January , 2007

Case 3:06-cv-01905-JSW Document 275-4 Case 2:06-cv-01020-DMC-MF Document 50

Filed 12/08/2008

Page 8 of 8

Filed 02/05/2007

Page 5 of 5

SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE JOHN C. LIFLAND