Document 292

Case 3:06-cv-01905-JSW

Filed 03/02/2009

Page 1 of 5

1	RESPONSE TO SPECIFIC AVERMENTS
2	COUNTERCLAIM
3	(Copyright Infringement under the Copyright Act § 501(a))
4	BACKGROUND FACTS
5	1. Admit.
6	2. Admit.
7	3. This paragraph consists of legal assertions to which no response is required.
8	4. This paragraph consists of legal assertions to which no response is required.
9	5. Professor Jacobsen lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the
10	allegation, and therefore denies the allegation.
11	6. Deny. On information and belief, Professor Jacobsen avers companies other than KAM
12	sold software with client-server capabilities in other model train control systems before July 1997.
13	7. Professor Jacobsen admits that JMRI developers first began work on JMRI software in
14	2001 but otherwise denies the allegations of this paragraph.
15	8. Professor Jacobsen admits the QSI Manual contains descriptions of decoder variables
16	and features used to configure locomotive functions, and that one such variable controls the
17	headlight function on model trains. Professor Jacobsen avers that this paragraph is otherwise too
18	vague to answer precisely and, on that basis, denies the balance of the allegations in this paragraph.
19	9. Professor Jacobsen admits that QSI hardware is used in the model train world. Professor
20	Jacobsen avers that "widely" is too vague a term to answer precisely and, on that basis, denies the
21	remainder of the allegation.
22	10. Professor Jacobsen lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the
23	allegation, and therefore denies the allegation.
24	11. Professor Jacobsen lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the
25	allegation, and therefore denies the allegation.
26	12. Professor Jacobsen lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the
27	allegation, and therefore denies the allegation.
28	

files. Jacobsen denies the remainder of the allegation.

files. Jacobsen denies the remainder of the allegation.

27 28

21

22

23

24

25

26

Materials contrary to copyright laws. On information and belief, Professor Jacobsen denies that

other JMRI developers have used the QSI Manual or Copyrighted Materials as the basis for JMRI

Materials contrary to copyright laws. On information and belief, Professor Jacobsen denies that

other JMRI developers have used the QSI Manual or Copyrighted Materials as the basis for JMRI

22. Professor Jacobsen denies copying or otherwise using the QSI Manual or Copyrighted

1	23. Professor Jacobsen admits he does not own the copyright to the QSI Manual. He denies
2	the remainder of the allegation.
3	24. Professor Jacobsen avers the term "conduct" in this allegation is vague, and
4	incorporates by reference his responses to paragraphs 13-23. Professor Jacobsen lacks information
5	sufficient to form a belief regarding the remaining allegations and, therefore, denies them.
6	25. Deny.
7	26. Professor Jacobsen avers the term "conduct" in this allegation is vague, and
8	incorporates by reference his responses to paragraphs 13-23. He denies that his conduct was
9	wrongful. He denies that Defendants are entitled to any of the relief stated in this paragraph, or any
10	other relief.
11	PRAYER
12	Professor Jacobsen denies that he is not entitled to relief. He also denies Defendants are
13	entitled to any relief whatsoever, either as prayed for in their Counterclaim or otherwise.
14	<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>
15	Further responding to Defendants' Counterclaim, Professor Jacobsen asserts the following
16	affirmative defenses:
17	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	Professor Jacobsen and/or JMRI developers have a license to reproduce, modify, and
19	otherwise use data that also appears in the QSI Manual.
20	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
21	Defendants are estopped from asserting their Counterclaim.
22	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	Professor Jacobsen's and/or JMRI developers' alleged conduct constitutes fair use.
24	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25	Defendants lack standing.
26	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
27	Defendants have engaged in misuse of the copyright they assert.
28	_4_
	N. COC 1005 IGW.

1	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	Defendants have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
3	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	The Counterclaim is barred by laches.
5	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
6	The Counterclaim is barred by the statute of limitations.
7	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	Defendants waived their Counterclaim.
9	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
10	Defendants' Copyrighted Materials have not been properly registered under U.S. Copyright
11	law.
12	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
13	Professor Jacobsen, reserving the right to amend this pleading if warranted by discovery in
14	this case, prays for the following:
15	A. That Defendants' claim be dismissed in its entirety and that Defendants take nothing
16	thereby; and
17	B. That Professor Jacobsen be awarded his reasonable attorneys' fees and court costs for
18	defending Defendants' Copyright Infringement Claim, per 17 U.S.C. Sec. 505.
19	
20	Respectfully submitted,
21	DATED 14 1 2 2000
22	DATED: March 2, 2009 By /s/ Victoria K. Hall, Esq. (SBN 240702)
23	LAW OFFICE OF VICTORIA K. HALL 3 Bethesda Metro Suite 700
24	Bethesda MD 20814
25	Telephone: 301-280-5925 Facsimile: 240-536-9142
26	ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF
27	ATTORNETTORTEAUVIIT
28	-5-
	No. C06-1905-JSW PLAINTIFF ROBERT JACOBSEN'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANTS

MATTHEW KATZER AND KAMIND ASSOCIATES, INC.'S COUNTERCLAIM FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT